UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,419	07/24/2006	07/24/2006 Eduardo Schiffrin		9075
	7590 04/17/200 & LLOYD LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1135		LANDSMAN, ROBERT S		
CHICAGO, IL	00090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

Office Action Occurrence		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
			10/595,419		SCHIFFRIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			ROBERT LA	ANDSMAN	1647				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA- s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS	S COMMUNICATION i., however, may a reply be the expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
'=	, ,	2b)⊠ This a		n-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			osecution as to the	e merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election red	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
•	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dr	rawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correctio	n is required	l if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/21/07.	PTO-948)		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D i) Notice of Informal F i) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/595,419 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Formal Matters

A. The Preliminary Amendment filed 4/17/06 has been entered into the record.

B. Claims 1-13 are pending and are the subject of this Office Action.

2. Information Disclosure Statement

A. The German non-patent literature document cited on the 1449 filed 2/21/07 has been lined through since no English translation has been provided.

3. Claim Objections

- A. The syntax of claims 1, 9, 11, 12 and 13 can be improved by amending, for example, as follows -
 - 1. A nutritional composition comprising a lipid and a carbohydrate source and wherein the composition comprises the following:
 - (a) 40-80%...
 - (b) from 0.5 to 2.0...
 - (c)free glutamine...
- B. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 1 recites that the energy content is "at least 1.3 kcal/ml" whereas dependent claim 4 recites that the energy content can be below 1.3 kcal/ml (i.e. 1.2 1.3).
- C. Claim 10 is objected to since it recites "the use" instead of "the method."
- D. The syntax of claim 12 can be improved by amending the claim to read "...and/or radiotherapy in a patient comprising administering to said patient a nutritional..."

Application/Control Number: 10/595,419 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

A. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 9 and 11-13 can be read such that the entire weight of the composition can be made up only

caesin and whey. However, the composition requires other components, including those recited in claim

7. It is suggested, for clarity, that Applicants amend claims 1, 9 and 11-13 to recite, as the last step, "and

wherein the remainder of the composition comprises 40-80% by weight of casein and..."

The Examiner will accept other suggestions, or an explanation on the record

B. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim

contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth

the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant

intended to so redefine that claim term. Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp., 190 F.3d 1350,

1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "symptom" in claim 11 is used by the claim to

mean an objective showing (which is called a "sign" of the disease, not "symptom"), while the accepted

meaning is subjective, such as feeling nauseous or feeling tired. The term is indefinite because the

specification does not clearly redefine the term. It is suggested that the "symptom" be replaced with

"sign."

C. Claim 12 ia rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting

essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted

steps are: a conclusion step relating back to the preamble allowing one to determine when the method has

been completed. In the instant situation, Applicants can overcome this rejection by reciting "administering

to the patient an effective amount of a composition..."

Art Unit: 1647

5. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

A. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perrin et al. (WO 02/083164) in view of Whyte et al. (WO 99/56758) and further in view of

The claims recite a nutritional composition comprising specific concentration and percentage ranges of casein, whey, TGF-b, energy and glutamine.

Perrin teach nutritional compositions comprising 5-30% of its energy from protein (page 6, lines 20-22), a lipid (page 6, lines 26-32) and 40-80% from a carbohydrate source (page 6, lines 33 - page 7, line 4). Page 9, Group "E" teaches whey and casein at a 1:1 ratio and which includes TGF-B2. Perrin teach that it has been found that TGF-B2 in casein retains its ability to be active in the digestive tract (page 4, line 17 – 5. line 18) and he amount of TGF-B2 is disclosed as being 0.5 to 3.5 ug. The 1:1 ratio would mean 50% casein and 50% whey, meeting the instant claim limitations. Perrin do not teach the addition of glutamine. Perrin also teach that the energy density is at least 50 kcal/ml (page 7, lines 6-8). The specification of Perrin is replete with examples of methods of producing these nutritional compositions. (e.g. pages 5-8)

However, Whyte do teach food compositions for changing the body composition and/or physical work capacity containing casein and growth factors (page 6, lines 1-6) and that the amino acid, glutamine, can also be included in the food (i.e. nutritional) composition as a supplement (page 7, lines 20-25).

Neither Perrin, nor Whyte teach the use of nutritional compositions for chemotherapy. However, Read et al. do teach nutritional compositions for the use in chemotherapy patients (Abstract; page 6, lines 6-10; page 7, line 30; claims 1 and 2, 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to have used the glutamine as taught by Whyte in the method of Perrin since glutamine is taught, and is well known, to be a nutritional supplement. The goal of the instant invention is to provide a nutritional composition to patients in need. Glutamine is an amino acid required, inter alia, to build protein required

Art Unit: 1647

for such things as muscle growth, which would be expected to be decreased in radiation and cancer patients.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to use the combined composition of Perrin and Whyte to treat a chemotherapy patient as taught by Read since chemotherapy and radiation are known to cause, inter alia, mucositis. In fact, Read teach using casein and "milk product extract" (page 6, lines 11-19) as well as TGF-b (page 7, line 30).

Furthermore, a person of ordinary skill in the art would also be motivated to optimize conditions such as dosage and pH. MPEP 2144.05 states:

[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 454, 105 USPQ 223,235, (CCPA 1955)

6. Conclusion

A. No claim is allowable.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 AM -6:30 PM (eastern).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath Rao can be reached on 571-272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert Landsman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647